Introduction

Prior research has shown that immigrants in the U.S. are disproportionately uninsured. Further, immigrants may be more likely to work low-wage jobs with few benefits, including coverage options through employment.

Federal policy bars legally present immigrants from several federally funded benefits, including health care coverage. State policies can further restrict or expand coverage to groups left out of federal policy. However, little research has examined how local sanctuary policies impact immigrants’ access to healthcare.

Aim: To assess the impacts of sanctuary city policies on immigrant health access and utilization

Methods

This poster draws on data collected from:
- Qualitative interviews with stakeholders who work with immigrants
- Conducted between February-August 2018
- Boston and Seattle

Policy Context

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Boston</th>
<th>Seattle</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Boston Trust Act</td>
<td>Seattle Ordinance 121063</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Will not honor ICE detainer without criminal warrant</td>
<td>City employees barred from inquiring about immigration status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BPD cannot interrogate, detain, or arrest anyone on basis of immigration status</td>
<td>ICE detainers must be accompanied by a federal judicial warrant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City seeks to ensure all immigrants can participate in civic and economic life with opportunity, access, and equality</td>
<td>City departments prioritize policies, actions, and practices that help immigrant and refugee communities</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Participant Demographics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stakeholder Group</th>
<th>Boston N=</th>
<th>Seattle N=</th>
<th>Total N=</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Health</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legal</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advocacy</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activist</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faith</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results

“We’ve seen a 30% increase in no-show rates [at] hospitals and primary care providers so people are not going to their appointments because people are afraid that putting their name on something or accessing services will put them on ICE’s radar.” (B04)

“One of the things we saw very soon after the Trump administration was, and all of his proclamations and executive orders about immigration, people stopped accessing our public health clinics. Well, that’s bad for the families who don’t come to see the public health providers, it’s also bad for citizens in the county because we need everyone who needs health services to get them and so, it affects everyone.” (S12)

“Lack of resources or lack of funds is a huge problem and lack of centralized health.” (B02)

“And there’s also . . . lack of access to healthcare and medical insurance. . . so many times we don’t go to the doctor unless it’s an emergency because we know we’re going to have to pay out of pocket, perhaps. So we see that it’s a really big need in our community as well.” (S05)

“In Los Angeles, they saw a 25% decrease in the rate of rape being reported by Latinos. In Houston, they saw a 40% decrease in sexual assault reporting among Latinos.” (B04)

“Think mental health is a big one. There’s not services and we don’t have health insurance, like they would have to pay out of pocket for anything like that, which is not possible for them in a lot of cases. I think mental health is a huge thing, stress and anxiety.” (B09)

Discussion

Children and minorities are particularly impacted by the current socio-political climate. Participants note that anti-immigrant policies and rhetoric contribute to negative impacts on mental health and heightened levels of anxiety.

Participants discussed how immigrants, particularly if undocumented, have limited or no access to health coverage. This often means that immigrants must pay out of pocket for health services or forgo care altogether.

In Seattle, public health departments have designated waiting areas “private spaces” to prevent ICE from coming in without a warrant to further protect immigrant patients.

Despite local protective ordinances or sanctuary policies, participants across stakeholder categories convey not being able to promise safety to any immigrant patients or clients.

Implications

Participants identified additional institutional level policies that could increase access and utilization of health care among immigrants. For example:
- hospitals and clinics could not require IDs to increase healthcare access
- providers could refrain from capturing discussion about immigration status in the medical record

More policy protections and expansion of coverage is needed to increase access and utilization for groups targeting by restrictive federal policies.

Acknowledgements

This research was supported by Global Resilience Institute Seed Grant (331259) at Northeastern University. We would like to thank our interdisciplinary research team and participants in this study.

References


Contact Information:
Thuy Duong Nguyen, nguyen.thuyd@husky.neu.edu
Emily Alves, alves.e@husky.neu.edu
Ashley Houston, a.houston@northeastern.edu
Alisa Lincoln, al.lincoln@northeastern.edu